|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Name** | **Comments** | **Mark (/100)** |
| 1374760 | NURFARAHIN YUSOF | Contents page, cover page and diagrams correctly labelled. Your report lacks substantial detail. No use-case diagram. Some use of pseudo code but only pseudo code for algorithm descriptions are required. Not clear how your elevator event loop polls the set of existing event requests. No substantial description of testing has been included in your report. No simulator implemented . A lot of submitted code has been commented out and little actual code submitted. Doesn’t seem to be interaction with the GUI so only the controller project has substantial code. No observed functionality – no buttons work and the lift doesn’t move. A very poor effort. | 35/100 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Name** | **Comments** | **Mark (/100)** |
| 1399330 | Alastair Jarrett | Cover, contents page, page numbering. A good description of the design evolution has been given. I would not have included a separate class to handle lift requests and I would not have used a list. It makes the design too fine grained and over-complicates the implementation. Your class diagram is too cluttered and needs separating into sub-diagrams. Some good use of pseudo code although not good layout to have this in the middle of design diagrams. Nice use of screen shots to illustrate testing although it’s normal to tabulate test outcomes also. Some glitches in the functionality. The simulator can’t be turned off (or not clear from the GUI). An interesting way to create the GUI and multiple lifts implemented although not clear what the rule was as to which lift serviced which request. Not enough information on the GUI to, for example, control the simulator. Sophisticated use of threading in your code. Not actually necessary as a single controller thread suffices for each set of lift requests. Overall a good effort. | 78 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Name** | **Comments** | **Mark (/100)** |
| 136770 | Ting Yan | Cover page but no contents page. Extensive pseudo-code which is hard to understand as it doesn’t come with any explanation as to what it is supposed to be describing. Some testing screen shots displayed but these are not very clear. Some of the design diagrams look like they have been scanned and are not very readable. Your report lacks significant explanation about how your system was designed and implemented. It is not sufficient to just provide diagrams and pseudo-code. Only partial functionality. The simulator not working (an exception fired when the start button clicked). The lift doesn’t visit floors correctly. For some reason the lift motion is not smooth. It seems like it can only handle a single request and can’t string several requests together. There is a lot of commented out code. You should only submit the final code and not code under development. Looks like correct use of delegates and event handling in your code. | 54 |
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