## UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM

## **Group Project**

## **Mark Apportionment Sheet**

|  | Group Name/Number: | C | Supervisor: | 635. |
|--|--------------------|---|-------------|------|
|--|--------------------|---|-------------|------|

It is hoped that all members of your group worked equally hard and that they should be awarded the "group mark" as determined by the assessment team. However, occasionally one or two members of a group do not "pull their weight" and it would be appropriate for them to receive a mark different from the group mark.

For the purposes of this exercise assume that each member of the team is awarded 100%, so a team with eight members would have a total of 800% to allocate between members. These should then be distributed between the team members in the most appropriate manner. The apportionment will then be used as multiplier acting on the group mark. Please return this form to the EE3GP coordinator.

| Team Member 1: LIEW、                    | Mark Apportionment: 107 • 3. |
|---|------------------------------|
| Comments: Orlack working with the group |                              |
| Signature:                              |                              |
| Team Member 2: FARMER.                  | Mark Apportionment: 104.5    |
| Comments:                               |                              |
|   |                              |
| Signature:                              |                              |
| Team Member 3: JAMES.                   | Mark Apportionment: 94.2     |
| Comments:                               |                              |
|   |                              |
| Signature: Stames                       |                              |

| Team Member 4: KALAT SELVAN.             | Mark Apportionment: 83.7        |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Comments:                                |                                 |  |  |  |
|  |                                 |  |  |  |
| Signature:                               |                                 |  |  |  |
| Team Member 5: LYONS                     | Mark Apportionment: ၊ ငာ ၊ . ပိ |  |  |  |
| Comments:                                |                                 |  |  |  |
|  |                                 |  |  |  |
| Signature:                               |                                 |  |  |  |
| Team Member 6: OPACKI                    | Mark Apportionment: 104.5       |  |  |  |
| Comments: The best robot in the year.    | Good job guys ?                 |  |  |  |
| Signature: Douch.                        |                                 |  |  |  |
| Team Member 7: SAM.                      | Mark Apportionment: 104.8       |  |  |  |
| comments: Glad to work with you gg guys! |                                 |  |  |  |
| Signature:                               |                                 |  |  |  |

## **Team Member Supervisor**

KALAI SELVAN WAS NOT HAPPY WITH THIS RESULT, BUT IT SEEMED TO REPRESENT THE FEELING OF THE GROUP. REPOLES WAS AGREED ON & Signature to indicate approval of above marks (moderated if necessary): FULLOWED. HE

THEN MADE A CASE FOR AN INCREASE LIMICH WAS DEBATED, AS PART OF THIS A COMPROJUTSE CASE WITH A SMALLER DUCREASE WAS ALSO CONSIDERED. ULTEMATEL'I THE INCREASE WAS REJECTED & THE GROUP CHOSE NOT TO ADJUST HIS MARK. AN INTERNAL NEGOTIATION WAS MADE IN THE SOPWARE PART OF THE GROUP WITH LIEW DOWATENG 5% OF HIS MARKS TO SAM TO GIVE THE FIGURES PRESENTED , ABOVE.